



Name:	<u>UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_UPJOZ_3432
Title of the Manuscript:	Unlocking Biological Insights: Integrating Zoological Research with AI-driven Business Management
Type of the Article	Original Research Article



1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's feedback
Compulsory REVISION comments		
1. Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? (Please write a few sentences on this manuscript)	The manuscript addresses an important intersection between zoological research and AI-driven business management, but it lacks depth and solid arguments supported by relevant references. It needs major revision to meet scientific standards.	Respected Reviewer, I tried to cover as much as possible references and based on this I have made the article.
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is somewhat suitable but could be improved to reflect the core message and relevance of the manuscript better. Alternative title: "Synergizing Zoological Research and AI in Business: Unveiling Biological Strategies for Sustainable Innovation".	Will change the title as suggested by you. Revised Title: Synergizing Zoological Research and AI in Business: Unveiling Biological Strategies for Sustainable Innovation
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	The abstract provides a general overview but lacks specific details on methodologies, key findings, and contributions, requiring revision for clarity and depth and also should be shortened (within 200-250 words maximum).	Respected reviewer, This is full length research paper and to cover basic details I tried to mention it in abstract so it took more words.
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections and overall structure need improvement for better organization and flow of ideas. The transitions between sections could be smoother.	Respected reviewer, Scientific depth is little less, as due to lack of time for on group research at various geographical parts. Respected reviewer, I tried to cover maximum



<p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions for additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>While the manuscript touches on relevant topics, it lacks specific scientific depth and clarity in arguments. It needs significant revision to ensure scientific accuracy and validity.</p> <p>The references are insufficient, and their connection to the content is superficial. More recent and relevant references are needed to strengthen the scientific credibility of the manuscript.</p> <p>Additional comment: The manuscript requires major revision as it appears to be generated by AI (i.e. ChatGPT), lacking depth in content and relying on superficial references that do not establish a strong connection with the arguments presented. There is a lack of solid lines of reasoning and clear arguments, which may confuse both reviewers and readers. A substantial overhaul is necessary to elevate the scientific writing standards, ensure clarity, and protect readers from potential confusion.</p>	<p>references as per relation. Still will find more and update it.</p> <p>Respected reviewer, Manuscript is written referring to all the research articles and content available on social media. Due to the used language, it might sound like auto-generated content.</p>
---	---	---



Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Clarify the implications of the research findings for industry advancement and sustainability. 2. Ensure consistency in terminology and concepts throughout the manuscript. 3. Improve the integration of ethical considerations and potential ethical dilemmas related to AI-driven business management and zoological research. 	Will work on it.
Optional comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Consider incorporating case study data or examples to illustrate key points and enhance the practical relevance of the manuscript. 2. Provide a more critical analysis of the limitations and challenges associated with integrating zoological research and AI-driven business management. 3. Explore potential future research directions and emerging trends in this interdisciplinary field. 	Will work on it.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	Yes Team.